arXiv:1602.01542v1 [math.GT] 4 Feb 2016

COSMETIC BANDING ON KNOTS AND LINKS

KAZUHIRO ICHIHARA AND IN DAE JONG

Dedicated to Professor Taizo Kanenobu on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. We present various examples of cosmetic bandings on knots and links, that is, bandings on knots and links leaving their types unchanged. As a byproduct, we give a hyperbolic knot which admits exotic chirally cosmetic surgeries yielding hyperbolic manifolds. This gives a counterexample to a conjecture raised by Bleiler, Hodgson and Weeks.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we call the following operation on a link a *banding*[1] on the link. For a given link L in the 3-sphere S^3 and an embedding $b\colon I \times I \to S^3$ such that $b(I \times I) \cap L = b(I \times \partial I)$, where I denotes a closed interval, we obtain a (new) link as $(L - b(I \times \partial I)) \cup b(\partial I \times I)$. We call the link so obtained *the link obtained from L by a banding along the band b*.

This operation would be first studied in [18], and then, played very important roles in various scenes in Knot theory, and also in much wider ranges, for example, relation to DNA topology (see [10] for example). Also see [1, 11, 13, 15] for some of recent studies.

Remark 1. On performing a banding, it is often assumed the compatibility of orientations of the original link and the obtained link, but in this paper, we do not assume that. Also note that this operation for a knot yielding a knot appears as the $n = 2$ case of the $H(n)$-move on a knot, which was introduced in [9]. See [14] for a study of $H(2)$-move on knots for example.

In general, for an operation on knots and links, a fundamental question must be when the operation preserves the type of a knot and link. For example, for the crossing change operation, this question is related to the famous Nugatory Crossing Conjecture [16, Problem 1.58].

Let us consider this question for a banding in this paper. Precisely we say that a banding on a link L in S^3 is *cosmetic* if the link L' obtained by a (non-trivial) banding on L is equivalent to L, in other words, there exists a self-homeomorphism of S^3 which takes L to L'. If the self-homeomorphism is orientation-preserving

Date: February 5, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25; Secondary 57M50, 57N10.

Key words and phrases. knot, link, banding, band surgery, cosmetic surgery.

Ichihara is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400100.

[1]The operation is sometimes called a band surgery, a bund sum (operation), or a hyperbolic transformation in a variety of contexts. In this paper, referring to [4], we use the term banding to clearly distinguish it from a Dehn surgery on a knot.

(resp. orientation-reversing), then we call the banding is a *purely* cosmetic (resp. *chirally* cosmetic).

There always exists a "trivial" banding on a link which is cosmetic, that is when the band is half-twisted and parallel to the link. However, also some other "non-trivial" examples are known. In this paper, we analyze and describe mechanisms of known examples, and present more examples of cosmetic bandings. Detailed contents are as follows.

In Section 2, we give a brief review on a relationship between a banding and a Dehn surgery. We also introduce some terminologies used in this paper.

In Section 3, we give examples of cosmetic bandings realized by a 4-move operation. In particular, based on the examples given in [12], we present a family of infinitely many two-bridge links which admit cosmetic bandings. Actually we see that the family coincides with the set of two-bridge links with unlinking number one.

In Section 4, we analyze the example of a cosmetic banding given in [25], that is, a cosmetic banding on the torus knot of type $(2, 5)$. We will describe why such a cosmetic banding can occur, and point out the example is quite sporadic.

In Section 5, we see that the knot 9_{27} in the knot table admits a cosmetic banding, and reveal its mechanism. As a matter of fact, the example comes from the famous example related to the Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture given in [5]. As a byproduct, we give a hyperbolic knot which admits exotic chirally cosmetic Dehn surgeries yielding hyperbolic 3-manifolds. This gives a counterexample to a conjecture raised by Bleiler, Hodgson and Weeks in [5, Conjecture 2].

Remark 2. The known examples and our examples are all chirally ones. Therefore it remains open whether there exists a non-trivial purely cosmetic banding on a link.

2. Banding and Dehn surgery

In this section, as a basic tool used throughout this paper, we describe a relationship between a banding on a link and a Dehn surgery on a knot.

2.1. **Dehn surgery.** Let K be a knot in a 3-manifold M with the exterior $E(K)$ (i.e., the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of K). Let γ be a slope (i.e., an isotopy class of non-trivial simple closed curves) on the boundary torus $\partial E(K)$. Then, the *Dehn surgery on K along γ* is defined as the following operation. Glue a solid torus V to $E(K)$ such that a simple closed curve representing γ bounds a meridian disk in V. We denote the obtained manifold by $K(\gamma)$.

It is said that the Dehn surgery along the meridional slope is the *trivial* Dehn surgery. Also it is said that a Dehn surgery along a slope which is represented by a simple closed curve with single intersection point with the meridian is an *integral* Dehn surgery.

In the case where $M = S^3$, we have the well-known bijective correspondence between $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$ and the slopes on $\partial E(K)$, which is given by using the standard meridian-longitude system for K. When the slope γ corresponds to $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$, then the Dehn surgery on K along γ is said to be the *r-Dehn surgery* on K, or the *r-surgery* on K for brevity. We also denote the obtained manifold by $K(r)$. In this case, we note that an integral Dehn surgery corresponds to an n-Dehn surgery with an integer n.

2.2. **Montesinos trick.** We here recall the Montesinos trick originally introduced in [21]. Let $\bar{M}$ be the double branched cover of S^3 branched along a link $L \subset S^3$. Let K be a knot in $\bar{M}$, which is *strongly invertible* with respect to the preimage $\bar{L}$ of L, that is, there is an orientation preserving involution of $\bar{M}$ with the quotient M and the fixed point set $\bar{L}$ which induces an involution of K with two fixed points. Then the manifold $K(\gamma)$ obtained by an integral Dehn surgery on K is homeomorphic to the double branched cover along the link obtained from L by a banding along the band appearing as the quotient of K with the corresponding framing r. See [2, 3, 4] for example.

2.3. **Cosmetic surgery conjecture.** In view of the Montesinos trick, studying cosmetic bandings on links is directly related to the following well-known conjecture.

Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture ([5, Conjecture 2], also see [16, Problem 1.81(A)]): Two surgeries on inequivalent slopes are never purely cosmetic.

Here two slopes are called *equivalent* if there exists a self-homeomorphism of the exterior of a knot K taking one slope to the other, and two surgeries on K along two slopes are called *purely cosmetic* (resp. *chirally cosmetic*[2]) if there exists an orientation preserving (resp. reversing) homeomorphism between the pair of the manifolds obtained by the pair of the surgeries. We say that a pair of cosmetic surgeries on a knot is called *mundane* (resp. *exotic*) if the surgeries are along equivalent (resp. inequivalent) slopes. These terminologies were introduced in [5].

The conjecture suggests that exotic purely cosmetic bandings on links might not exist, or are quite hard to find if exist. On the other hand, it is known that the cosmetic surgery conjecture for "chirally cosmetic" case is not true. That is, there exist many knots admitting non-trivial chirally cosmetic surgeries. From such examples, we can have cosmetic bandings on links as we will see in the rest of this paper.

2.4. **Mundane and exotic banding.** According to the definitions of a mundane cosmetic surgery and an exotic cosmetic surgery, we define a mundane cosmetic banding and an exotic cosmetic banding as follows.

It is well-known that a rational tangle is determined by the meridional disk in the tangle. The boundary of the meridional disk is parameterized by an element of $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$, called a *slope* of the rational tangle. A rational tangle is said to be *integral* if the slope is an integer or $1/0$. For brevity, we call an integral tangle with slope n an *n-tangle*.

A banding can be regarded as an operation replacing a $1/0$-tangle into an n-tangle. Then we call this banding a *banding with a slope n*, and the 3-ball corresponds to the n-tangle the *banding ball*.

A cosmetic banding with slope n is said to be *mundane* when there exists a self-homeomorphism on the exterior of the banding ball taking the slope $1/0$ to n. A cosmetic banding which is not mundane is said to be *exotic*.

3. 4-moves

In this section, we introduce examples of chirally cosmetic bandings which come from a 4-move operation. By these examples, one might say that examples of

[2]In [5], it is called *reflectively cosmetic*.

chirally cosmetic bandings are not difficult to find. However, all of examples of chirally cosmetic bandings introduced in this section are mundane.

A 4-*move* on a link is a local change that involves replacing parallel lines by 4 half-twists as shown in the left-hand side of Figure 1. We may regard the local move given in the right-hand side of Figure 1 as a 4-move also.



FIGURE 1. 4-moves

First, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *A* 4*-move on a link is realizable by a single banding.*

Proof. Apply the banding as shown in Figure 2. □



FIGURE 2. The banding yields a 4-move.

3.1. **Symmetric union.** By Lemma 3.1, a *symmetric union* as shown in Figure 3 admits a cosmetic banding. This fact was pointed out by Kanenobu [12, Section 11]. Note that such symmetric unions contain some familiar knots, for example, a pretzel knot of type $(\pm 2, p, -p)$, more generally a Montesinos knot of type $(\pm\frac{1}{2}, R, -R)$. Furthermore the Kinoshita-Terasaka knot and the Conway knot are also contained, see [12, Figure 15].



FIGURE 3. The tangle T^* is obtained from T by mirroring along the vertical plane.

3.2. **Satellite knot/link.** By using Lemma 3.1, other examples are obtained as follows. For an amphicheiral knot in S^3, the Whitehead double with the blackboard framing is a knot admitting a cosmetic banding, and the $(2,2)$-cable is a 2-component link admitting a cosmetic banding.

3.3. **Two-bridge link.** Further examples are given by unlinking number one two-bridge links as follows. Two-bridge links with unlinking number one were determined by Kohn [17]. That is, the unlinking number of a two-bridge link L is one if and only if there exist relatively prime integers m and n such that

$$L = S(2n^2, 2nm \pm 1)$$

in the Schubert form. In the Conway form, this condition is equivalent to

$$L = C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, \pm 2, -a_k, \dots, -a_1, -a_0)\,.$$

It is clear that such a two-bridge link admits a chirally cosmetic banding realized by a 4-move which changes

$$C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, \pm 2, -a_k, \dots, -a_1, -a_0)$$

into

$$C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, \mp 2, -a_k, \dots, -a_1, -a_0)\,.$$

These examples also can be explained by considering cosmetic Dehn surgeries on knots in lens spaces. Note that the double-branched cover of S^3 along a two-bridge link is a lens space. In [20], Matignon gave a complete list of cosmetic surgeries on non-hyperbolic knots in lens spaces. In particular, a Dehn surgery on a lens space $L(2m^2, 2mn-1)$ along the knot, $K_{m,n}$, the (m,n)-cable of the axis in $L(2m^2, 2mn-1)$ is contained in his list. Here m and n are positive and coprime integers with $2n \leq m$. By taking the quotient with respect to a strong inversion, we can notice that the cosmetic surgery on $K_{m,n}$ yields a cosmetic banding on the two-bridge link $S(2m^2, 2mn-1)$. Furthermore this corresponds to the banding such that $C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, -2, -a_k, \dots, -a_1, -a_0)$ changes to $C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, 2, -a_k, \dots, -a_1, -a_0)$. Thus a cosmetic banding on a two-bridge link with unlinking number one corresponds to the cosmetic surgery on a lens space along a cable knot of a torus knot.

Remark 3. In [23], Rong determined cosmetic Dehn fillings on a Seifert fibered manifold with a torus boundary. Among the cosmetic surgeries and fillings contained in Matignon's list and Rong's list, the cosmetic surgery on a lens space $L(2m^2, 2mn-1)$ along $K_{m,n}$ due to Matignon is the only example yielding a cosmetic banding in the down-stair since the other cosmetic surgeries and fillings have no integral slopes.

Remark 4. It is easy to see that the chirally cosmetic banding realized by a 4-move is mundane as shown in Figure 4. Thus, all of the cosmetic bandings introduced in this section are mundane.

4. Torus knot

In this section, we introduce an example of a non-trivial chirally cosmetic banding which does not realized by a 4-move. Recently, Zeković [25] found that the $H(2)$-Gordian distance between the $(2,5)$-torus knot $T(2,5)$ and its mirror image $T(2,-5)$ is equal to one as shown in Figure 5. That is, $T(2,5)$ admits a cosmetic banding.



FIGURE 4. The banding ball is indicated by the blue ball.

First we show that this banding cannot be realized by a 4-move. We denote by $\sigma(K)$ the signature of a knot K. If a knot K_1 is obtained from K_0 by a single crossing change, then we have $|\sigma(K_0) - \sigma(K_1)| \leq 2$ [22]. Since a 4-move is realized by crossing changes two times, if a knot K' is obtained from K by a single 4-move, then we have $|\sigma(K) - \sigma(K')| \leq 4$. If a banding changes a knot K into a knot K', which is realized by a 4-move as in the previous section, then $|\sigma(K) - \sigma(K')| \leq 4$. On the other hand, we have $\sigma(T(2,5)) = -4$ and $\sigma(T(2,-5)) = 4$. Therefore this chirally cosmetic banding on $T(2,5)$ cannot be realized by a 4-move.

Next we consider the mechanism of this banding. Since the $(2,5)$-torus knot is the two-bridge knot of the form $S(5,1)$, we have a chirally cosmetic surgery on a knot in the lens space $L(5,1)$ by the Montesinos trick. Here we observe this phenomenon in detail.



FIGURE 5.

By an isotopy, the cosmetic banding on $T(2,5)$ is shown as in Figure 6. Decomposing to the tangles as in Figure 7, we can draw the knot corresponding to the banding in $L(5,1)$ as in Figure 7. The knot is actually the famous hyperbolic knot whose complement is called the "figure-eight sibling". It is known that the complement of the figure-eight sibling is amphicheiral [19, 24]. Hence we can notice

that the chirally cosmetic banding on $T(2,5)$ comes from the amphicheirality of the figure-eight sibling.



FIGURE 6.



FIGURE 7. The complement of the red colored knot in $L(5,1)$ is called the "figure-eight sibling" which is amphicheiral.

On the other hand, we can check that this chirally cosmetic banding is mundane as follows. This banding is described by the bandings with the slopes $0/1$ or $1/0$ as in Figure 8. Therefore it suffices to show that the mirror image of the left side of Figure 8 with the slope $1/0$ is isotopic to the right side of Figure 8 with the same slope $1/0$. Such an isotopy is described in Figure 9.

Remark 5. As pointed out in [19], amphicheiral hyperbolic manifolds are quite sporadic, at least among those with small volume. Actually, the only amphicheiral hyperbolic manifolds with boundary obtained as fillings on the "magic manifold" are the figure-eight knot complement, its sibling, and the complement of the two-bridge link $S(10,3)$. The figure-eight sibling is the only example related to a cosmetic banding among them.

Remark 6. The two slopes of the cosmetic fillings on the figure-eight sibling are $1/0$ and $-1/1$. Hence the distance of the slopes is one. In other word, there exists



FIGURE 8. The slope of the red banding is 0/1 and that of the green banding is 1/0.



FIGURE 9. The slopes of the green bands are 1/0.

a homeomorphism h of the figure-eight sibling to its mirror image such that the distance between a slope r and the slope $h(r)$ is just one. On the other hand, for an amphicheiral knot K in S^3, the slope p/q changed to $-p/q$ by the orientation reversing self-homeomorphism of the exterior of K. If $|p|, |q| \neq 0$, the the distance between the slopes p/q and $-p/q$ is $2|pq|$ which is grater than one. It follows that no more examples can be created from amphicheiral knots in S^3. In view of this, we ask the following.

Question 4.1. By generalizing this banding, that is, the cosmetic banding on $T(2, 5)$, can we find other non-trivial cosmetic Dehn fillings on an amphicheiral cusped hyperbolic manifold along distance one slopes?

5. Cosmetic banding on the knot 9_{27} and its generalization

In this section, we first focus on the knot 9_{27} to show that it admits a cosmetic banding, and reveal its mechanism. As a matter of fact, the existence of this cosmetic banding comes from the famous example related to the Cosmetic Surgery Conjecture, which was given in [5]. The mechanism for that example which we find enable us to construct infinitely many examples of knots and links admitting chirally cosmetic bandings. As a byproduct, we presents a hyperbolic knot which admits exotic chirally cosmetic Dehn surgeries yielding hyperbolic 3-manifolds. This gives a counterexample to the conjecture raised by Bleiler, Hodgson and Weeks in [5, Conjecture 2].

5.1. **The knot 9_{27}.** In [5], Bleiler, Hodgson and Weeks gave a hyperbolic knot in $S^2 \times S^1$ admitting a pair of exotic chirally cosmetic surgeries which yields the lens space $L(49, -19)$ and its mirror image. The knot is shown in Figure 10. We remark that the surgery slopes for the example are 19 and 18 with respect to a meridian-longitude system, and so, their distance is just one.



Figure 10.

By considering the dual knot in $L(49, -19)$ for the knot in $S^2 \times S^1$, one can find a hyperbolic knot in $L(49, -19)$ which admits a non-trivial integral Dehn surgery yielding the mirror image of $L(49, -19)$, that is, $L(49, -18)$. Furthermore the knot in $L(49, -19)$ is strongly invertible, for the knot is obtained from the so-called Berge-Gabai knots in solid tori, and all such knots have tunnel number one, that means the corresponding knots in $S^1 \times S^2$ are strongly invertible. See [3] for detailed arguments for example.

From this knot, by using the Montesinos trick, we have a chirally cosmetic banding on the knot $9_{27} = S(49, -19)$ as illustrated in Figure 11.

Here we explain why such a cosmetic banding can occur for the knot 9_{27}. The mechanism can be demonstrated in Figure 12. We first isotope the knot 9_{27} to the left position depicted in Figure 12, like a triangular prism. To the knot in the left, if we perform the banding along the red band in Figure 12 with the slope 0, then



FIGURE 11. The bandings along the red band with the slope 1 are chirally cosmetic.

we have the knot in the right. On the other hand, the knot in the right is actually the mirror image of the left. To see this, we perform mirroring the left knot along the middle horizontal plane shown in the figure, and then perform $2\pi/3$-rotation to obtain the right knot. Consequently, as shown in the figure, we can recognize that the knot 9_{27} can admit a chirally cosmetic banding.



FIGURE 12. The mechanism of the chirally cosmetic banding.

5.2. **Generalization.** Observing Figure 12, one can easily generalize it and have infinitely many examples of cosmetic bandings. For example, if one adds any number of twists to the single full-twists, or replaces the single full-twists by any rational tangles, in the knot shown in Figure 12, then one can obtain infinitely many examples of links admitting cosmetic bandings. By conversely using the Montesinos trick, we have infinitely many possibly new examples of knots admitting cosmetic surgeries.

From now on, we focus on the knot K which admits a cosmetic banding naturally extended from that on 9_{27} as illustrated in Figure 13. In some sense, it is obtained by the "pentagonal version" of the knot 9_{27}. This knot K admits a chirally cosmetic banding in the same way as seen in Figure 12.

Now let us consider the double branched cover $\bar{M}$ of S^3 branched along K. Applying the isotopic deformation as shown in Figure 14, we can use the Montesinos trick conversely. Precisely, we can obtain a surgery description of $\bar{M}$ as in Figure 15 from the last picture of Figure 14. Here we perform the meridional surgery on the



FIGURE 13. The banding along the red band with the slope 0 is chirally cosmetic.

red curve to obtain $\bar{M}$ in Figure 15 (i.e., we should ignore the red curve). The red curve actually appears as the preimage of the red band in Figure 13. Let $\bar{K}$ denote the red knot in $\bar{M}$ as shown in Figure 15.



FIGURE 14. The thick lines represent rational tangles.

By considering the knot $\bar{K}$ in $\bar{M}$, we can obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a hyperbolic knot which admits exotic chirally cosmetic Dehn surgeries yielding hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Precisely, the hyperbolic knot given*



FIGURE 15. A counterexample of a conjecture proposed in [5].

by the surgery description illustrated in Figure 15, which admits a pair of Dehn surgeries, one of which yields the mirror image of the 3-manifold obtained by the other, along inequivalent slopes.

This gives a counterexample to the following conjecture. (We changed terminology slightly to fit ours.)

Conjecture ([5, Conjecture 2])**.** *Any hyperbolic knots admit no cosmetic surgeries, purely or chirally, yielding hyperbolic manifolds.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We consider a knot $\bar{K}$ in a 3-manifold $\bar{M}$ constructed above. Precisely it is described by the surgery description illustrated in Figure 15, where the red curve indicates the knot $\bar{K}$.

The knot $\bar{K}$ admits a pair of cosmetic Dehn surgeries, one of which is the trivial surgery (1/0-surgery) yielding $\bar{M}$, and the other is the 3-surgery yielding the mirror image of $\bar{M}$. By using the Montesinos trick, the corresponding knot K in S^3 is shown in Figure 13, and the knot K admits a cosmetic banding in the same way as for the knot 9_{27}. Also we can use *SnapPy*, which is developed for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, to check that they are mutually homeomorphic orientation-reversingly.

By using the computer program *hikmot* developed in [8], one can certify the manifold $\bar{M}$ is hyperbolic. The program utilizes interval arithmetics to solve the gluing equations, and can rigorously give a certification that a given manifold is hyperbolic. We include the data of the triangulation of $\bar{M}$ which we used in Appendix A.

We next consider the complement $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{K}$ of the knot $\bar{K}$ in $\bar{M}$. Again one can certify the manifold $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{K}$ is hyperbolic by using the computer program *hikmot*.

To show that the slopes corresponding to 1/0 and 3 are inequivalent, due to [5, Lemma 2], it suffices to show that the manifold $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{K}$ is chiral, that is, it is not orientation-preservingly homeomorphic to its mirror image. Actually this can be certified by using a computer-aided method developed in [7] based on *hikmot* and

SnapPy. We also include the data of the triangulation of $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{K}$ which we used in Appendix B.

□

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Hidetoshi Masai for his helps to the computer-aided proof of Theorem 5.1.

References

[1] T. Abe and T. Kanenobu, Unoriented band surgery on knots and links, Kobe J. Math. **31** (2014), no. 1-2, 21–44.
[2] K.L. Baker and D. Buck, The Classification of Rational Subtangle Replacements between Rational Tangles, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), 1413–1463.
[3] K.L. Baker, D. Buck, and A.G. Lecuona, Some knots in $S^1 \times S^2$ with lens space surgeries, arXiv:1302.7011, To appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.
[4] S. A. Bleiler, Banding, twisted ribbon knots, and producing reducible manifolds via Dehn surgery, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), no. 4, 679–696.
[5] S. A. Bleiler, C. D. Hodgson and J. R. Weeks, Cosmetic surgery on knots, in *Proceedings of the Kirbyfest (Berkeley, CA, 1998)*, 23–34 (electronic), Geom. Topol. Monogr., 2, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry.
[6] M. Culler, N. M. Dunfield, and J. R. Weeks, *SnapPy*, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, available at `http://snappy.computop.org`
[7] N. M. Dunfield, N. R. Hoffman, and J. E. Licata, Asymmetric hyperbolic L-spaces, Heegaard genus, and Dehn filling, to appear in Math. Res. Letters, preprint version is available at arXiv:1407.7827
[8] N. Hoffman, K. Ichihara, M. Kashiwagi, H. Masai, S. Oishi, and A. Takayasu, Verified Computations for Hyperbolic 3-Manifolds, Exp. Math. **25** (2016), no. 1, 66–78.
[9] J. Hoste, Y. Nakanishi and K. Taniyama, Unknotting operations involving trivial tangles, Osaka J. Math. **27** (1990), no. 3, 555–566.
[10] K. Ishihara, K. Shimokawa and M. Vazquez, Site-specific recombination modeled as a band surgery: applications to Xer recombination, in *Discrete and topological models in molecular biology*, 387–401, Nat. Comput. Ser, Springer, Heidelberg.
[11] T. Kanenobu, Band surgery on knots and links, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **19** (2010), no. 12, 1535–1547.
[12] T. Kanenobu, $H(2)$-Gordian distance of knots, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **20** (2011), no. 6, 813–835.
[13] T. Kanenobu, Band surgery on knots and links, II, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012), no. 9, 1250086, 22 pp.
[14] T. Kanenobu and Y. Miyazawa, $H(2)$-unknotting number of a knot, Commun. Math. Res. **25** (2009), no. 5, 433–460.
[15] T. Kanenobu and H. Moriuchi, Links which are related by a band surgery or crossing change, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., 20 (2014), no. 2, 467–483.
[16] Problems in low-dimensional topology, Edited by Rob Kirby. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 2.2, Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), 35–473, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
[17] P. Kohn, Two-bridge links with unlinking number one, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **113** (1991), no. 4, 1135–1147.
[18] W. B. R. Lickorish, Unknotting by adding a twisted band, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (1986), no. 6, 613–615.
[19] B. Martelli and C. Petronio, Dehn filling of the "magic" 3-manifold, Comm. Anal. Geom. **14** (2006), no. 5, 969–1026.
[20] D. Matignon, On the knot complement problem for non-hyperbolic knots, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), No.12, 1900–1925.
[21] J. M. Montesinos, Surgery on links and double branched covers of S^3, in *Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds (Papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox)*, 227–259. Ann. of Math. Studies, 84, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1975.
[22] K. Murasugi, On a certain numerical invariant of link types. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 387–422.

[23] Y. W. Rong, Some knots not determined by their complements, in *Quantum topology*, 339–353, Ser. Knots Everything, 3, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
[24] J. Weeks, Hyperbolic structures on three-manifolds, PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1985.
[25] A. Zeković, Computation of Gordian distances and H_2-Gordian distances of knots, Yugosl. J. Oper. Res. **25** (2015), no. 1, 133–152.

Appendix A.

The following is the data of the triangulation we used to give a certification via *hikmot* that $\bar{M}$ is hyperbolic in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

```
% Triangulation
positive_NewExDoubleBranchedCover.tri
geometric_solution  10.01776364
oriented_manifold
CS_unknown

1 0
    torus   1.000000000000   0.000000000000

12
   9    7    1    2
 0132 2031 3120 2031
   0    0    0    0
  0 -5  0  5  0  0  0  0  0  2  0 -2  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  2  0 -2  0  0  0  0  0 -1  0  1  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0.331423656275   0.162341774025

   1    1    0    2
 1302 2031 3120 2103
   0    0    0    0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0.611725379702   1.298376024362

   4    0    3    1
 3120 1302 0132 2103
   0    0    0    0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  5 -5  0  0 -2  2  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -2  2  0  0  1 -1  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  1.154660522176   0.243438802519

   5    5    6    2
 2103 2031 1302 0132
   0    0    0    0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
  0.742391711448   0.375569853796

  10   11    5    2
```

```
2103 3201 0132 3120
  0    0    0    0
 0 -2  0  2  0  0  0  0  5  0  0 -5  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  1  0 -1  0  0  0  0 -2  0  0  2  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.051561272573   0.817211848975

  3    9    3    4
1302 0213 2103 0132
  0    0    0    0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.382109605694   0.517704352071

  3    9    7   10
2031 2103 0132 2310
  0    0    0    0
 0  0  3 -3  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.133267651768   0.492450754011

  0    7    7    6
1302 3201 2310 0132
  0    0    0    0
 0 -2  5 -3  0  0  0  0  5 -5  0  0 -2  0  2  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  1 -2  1  0  0  0  0 -2  2  0  0  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.844929135477   0.621869387892

 10    8    9    8
3120 1302 0132 2031
  0    0    0    0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  3  0  0 -3 -3  3  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  0  0  1  1 -1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.595097294342   0.379538531701

  0    6    5    8
0132 2103 0213 0132
  0    0    0    0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.727494149013   0.583230666597

  6   11    4    8
3201 3012 2103 3120
  0    0    0    0
 0  2 -5  3  0  0  0  0  3  0  0 -3  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
```

```
 0 -1  2 -1  0  0  0  0 -1  0  0  1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.458810332791   0.923439146059

 10   11    4   11
1230 1302 2310 2031
  0    0    0    0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -2  0  2  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.272534538068   1.292584061520
```

Appendix B.

The following is the data of the triangulation we used to give a certification via *hikmot* that $\bar{M}-\bar{K}$ is hyperbolic and is chiral in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Actually after canonizing it, the program developed in [7] can give a certification that the triangulation is canonical, and then one can use *SnapPy* to check that it is chiral.

```
% Triangulation
NewExSD.tri
geometric_solution  17.66121174
oriented_manifold
CS_unknown

7 0
    torus   3.000000000000   2.000000000000
    torus  -1.000000000000   2.000000000000
    torus   3.000000000000   2.000000000000
    torus  -3.000000000000   2.000000000000
    torus  -2.000000000000   1.000000000000
    torus   3.000000000000   1.000000000000
    torus   0.000000000000   0.000000000000

26
   7   21    2   11
 0213 1230 1023 1302
   6    5    4    6
 0  0  0  0 -1  0  0  1 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  0  1  2  0 -1 -1  2 -1  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.884879310226   0.265427114601

   3   10    2   11
 3120 2031 0132 3201
   5    6    4    3
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -2  1  0  1 -1  1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.497167764715   0.363563051665

   4    8    0    1
 2103 3201 1023 0132
```

```
  5    6    3    6
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.542052384313   0.059208103396

  7    6    4    1
2310 3012 0132 3120
  3    6    4    2
 0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  1  0  0 -1 -1  1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  0  1  0  0  0  0 -2  0  0  2 -1  0  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
-0.070819701580   1.180960190947

 25   14    2    3
1230 2031 2103 0132
  3    6    2    6
 0 -1  0  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  0 -1  2 -2  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.268574212755   0.558073733867

  7   13    6   10
3120 2103 0132 0321
  1    4    5    2
 0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0  1 -1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  0  1  0  0  0  0 -2  2  0  0  1 -1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.801565759577   1.236693248028

  3    9   18    5
1230 0321 2310 0132
  1    4    2    3
 0 -1  0  1  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0  0 -1  1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.219495828522   0.185291470447

  0    8    3    5
0213 2031 3201 3120
  2    4    5    6
 0  0  1 -1  1  0 -1  0  1  0  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  1 -1 -2  0  2  0 -2  0  0  2  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.194061167227   0.325653160933

  7    9    2   25
1302 1023 2310 1230
  3    6    2    5
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0
```

```
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.581448542272   1.027635963213

  8   22   10    6
1023 0321 0132 0321
  1    3    2    5
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  1 -1  0  1  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.486425164049   0.469383911691

  1    5   23    9
1302 0321 0132 0132
  1    3    5    4
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  1  0 -1  0  0  1  0  0  0  0 -1  0  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.759277382544   0.097656419356

 12    1    0   21
3120 2310 2031 3201
  4    6    6    5
 0  0  0  0 -1  0  0  1  0  0  0  0  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  1  0  2  0 -1 -1  0  0  0  0 -1  0  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.331450766575   0.575698967017

 17   22   13   11
0213 1230 0132 3120
  5    6    6    1
 0  0  1 -1  0  0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  1 -2  1  0  0  2 -2  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 1.334152709472   0.488916258280

 14    5   22   12
2103 2103 1302 0132
  5    6    1    2
 0  1  0 -1 -1  0  0  1  1 -1  0  0  0  1 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -2  0  2  2  0  0 -2 -1  1  0  0 -1  1  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 1.025382558674   0.625437377058

  4   24   13   17
1302 0213 2103 3201
  1    6    3    2
 0  1 -1  0 -1  0  1  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -1  1  0  2  0 -2  0  0  0  0  0 -1  0  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.946553997150   0.629290531878

 17   25   16   19
```

```
3120 0321 0132 0132
  6    6    6    3
 0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -2  2  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.640296809522   1.555614203505

 18   23   21   15
2103 3012 2103 0132
  6    6    3    4
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  2 -2  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.703090123573   1.169878685435

 12   14   18   15
0213 2310 0132 3120
  3    6    6    1
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
-0.826217803228   0.562814000061

 23    6   16   17
1302 3201 2103 0132
  3    6    1    4
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
-0.064157902186   1.804989001852

 20   20   15   20
1023 0321 0132 3120
  6    6    0    6
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  0  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  1  0 -1 -1  0  0  1 -2 -1  0  3  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 3.193562318461   1.179181974013

 19   19   21   19
3120 1023 0132 0321
  6    6    0    6
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  1  0 -1 -1  0  1  0 -3  2  0  1  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.109741669235   4.696396284359

 16   11    0   20
2103 2310 3012 0132
  6    6    6    4
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1  0  0  1  0 -1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
```

```
 0  0  0  0  0  0  1 -1 -2  1  0  1 -1  0  1  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.640923677127   0.198559042034

 13   24   12    9
2031 0132 3012 0321
 1    5    2    6
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  1  0  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0 -1  1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.386084754186   0.276324002673

 16   18   24   10
1230 2031 0132 0132
 1    3    4    6
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  1 -1 -1  0  0  1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  1  0  0 -1  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.756429983407   0.089394885530

 25   22   14   23
2103 0132 0213 0132
 1    3    6    2
 0  0 -1  1  0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  1 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.610960236830   0.149161807351

  8    4   24   15
3012 3012 2103 0321
 6    3    6    2
 0  1  0 -1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0 -2  0  2  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0.057309227057   0.494708252137
```

Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, 3-25-40 Sakurajosui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan.
E-mail address: ichihara@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kindai University, 3-4-1 Kowakae, Higashiosaka City, Osaka 577-0818, Japan
E-mail address: jong@math.kindai.ac.jp